**Value of clinical exam findings and basic investigations in the diagnosis of acute heart failure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>History (Based on JAMA Rational Clinical Exam)</th>
<th>Highest Positive Likelihood Ratios (+LR) for heart failure diagnosis:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessments</td>
<td>• History of Congestive Heart Failure (+LR 5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• History of Myocardial Infarction (+LR 3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Paroxysmal Nocturnal Dyspnea (PND)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Orthopnea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most Sensitive Historical Feature: Shortness of Breath on Exertion (SOBOE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Exam (Based on JAMA Rational Clinical Exam)</th>
<th>High Positive Likelihood Ratios:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessments</td>
<td>• S3 Heart Sound (+LR 11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Jugular Venous Distension (JVD) (+LR 5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cardiac Murmur (any)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Leg Edema</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: heart failure + narrow pulse pressure, think about high output heart failure (i.e., anemia, thyrotoxicosis)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigations</th>
<th>Commonly used tests in Heart Failure:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Chest X-Ray:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Good test characteristics when combined with high pre-test probability, not good in those for whom you have a low to moderate pre-test probability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o <strong>Note</strong>: CXR in patients with flash pulmonary edema may be normal early in the presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• BNP:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Little to no role in the ED (does not perform better than physician gestalt) and can be misleading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o May be useful in follow-up clinical course in hospital</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**PoCUS is more accurate than CXR and clinical exam in the diagnosis of acute heart failure**

Assessment of the patient suspected of acute heart failure with PoCUS helps to differentiate it from other causes of shortness of breath, is accurate for the diagnosis of acute heart failure and can help elucidate the underlying cause.

- **B-Lines** on PoCUS are 94% sensitive, 92% specific for the diagnosis of acute heart failure

It is important to identify the underlying cause of acute heart failure to help guide management.

While medication non-compliance and dietary indiscretion are the most common causes of acute heart failure, there are several life-threatening causes that should be identified and addressed in the ED, the most time-sensitive being ACS:

- **Cardiac ischemia** + acute heart failure is an indication for emergency transfer to cath lab
- **Mechanical causes**
  - Severe aortic stenosis
  - Ruptured cardiac valve
  - Pericardial effusion (including tamponade)
- **Myocarditis / Endocarditis**
- **COPD/Asthma**
- **Pneumonia**
- **High output states** (narrow pulse pressure is a clue)

### PPV HAVoC mnemonic for goals of management in acute heart failure

**PPV** – positive pressure ventilation  
**Hypotension correction with vasopressors**  
**Afterload reduction**  
**Volume status (consider diuresis vs. intravascular volume repletion)**

**Cause (treat underlying cause)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treat pulmonary edema</td>
<td>Positive pressure ventilation (PPV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat HYPOTension</td>
<td>Vasopressors (see part 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduces afterload in patients who are HYPERTensive</td>
<td>Nitroglycerin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
<td>Diuresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimize volume status</td>
<td>Diuresis Intravascular volume repletion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat underlying etiology</td>
<td>Etiology dependent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General approach to the management of acute heart failure**

Divide patients into two categories based on their hemodynamic status:

- **Hypotensive (Cardiogenic Shock):** severe impairment of myocardial contractility most often caused by ischemia that results in diminished cardiac output, end-organ hypoperfusion, and hypoxia, presenting with hypotension refractory to volume resuscitation requiring vasopressors.
and ionotropes and/or mechanical intervention. See Part 2.

- **Not hypotensive (No Cardiogenic Shock):** These patients will usually present HYPERtensive (can present normotensive). In this category, the primary issue is that the heart cannot overcome the increased afterload. Treatments focus on decreasing afterload. In severe cases patients can present in flash pulmonary edema or SCAPE (Sympathetic Crashing Acute Pulmonary Edema), a subset of patients with very high systemic vasoconstriction, hypertension and elevated afterload who may be hypovolemic, euvolemic or hypervolemic.

Identify and treat the underlying cause of acute heart failure in tandem

**Management of acute heart failure without cardiogenic shock: Oxygenation, nitroglycerin, diuresis and treating the underlying cause**

**Oxygenation in acute heart failure management**

Escalation of oxygenation strategies is usually indicated in the dyspneic acute heart failure patient who continues to display an oxygen saturation <91%. Options include non-rebreather, high flow nasal cannula, non-invasive positive pressure ventilation (NIPPV) and endotracheal intubation.

**Non-invasive positive pressure ventilation (NIPPV): B-PAP and C-PAP**

Patients with pulmonary edema from acute heart failure who are tachypneic and in respiratory distress respond well to NIPPV because it helps to **reduce cardiac preload** (by increasing intrathoracic pressure) and **afterload** thus improving forward flow. It also improves atelectasis and gas exchange at the bases of the lungs which can improve hypoxia. NIPPV can reduce the work of breathing, decreasing intrathoracic muscle use, thereby reducing oxygen consumption.

**Indications for NIPPV (Canadian Cardiovascular Society):**

- High respiratory rate (>25 breaths/min)
- Hypoxia despite high flow oxygen
- Does **not** recommend routine use of non-invasive ventilation given study (3CPO Trial—see below) demonstrating no difference in mortality, intubation, or admission rates to ICU

**Contraindications NIPPV:**

- Patients who are not tolerating their secretions
- Patients who are vomiting
- Patients who are unable to protect their airway

**Failure of NIPPV (consider endotracheal intubation):**

- Failure to improve with 1-2 hours of NIPPV
- Do not tolerate NIPPV
- Contraindications to NIPPV
Tips to help patients tolerate NIPPV:

- **The mask** – have the patient hold the mask gently on their face to start (rather than strapping it on tightly), coaching the patient to let the mask do the work
- **Start pressure low and titrate up:**
  - **C-PAP:** begin with pressures of ~6 cm H$_2$O, and increase to 12-14 cm H$_2$O
  - **B-PAP:** begin with end expiratory pressures of ~6 cm H$_2$O, and inspiratory pressure of ~10 cm H$_2$O and slowly increase
  - **Pitfall – cranking NIPPV above 20 cm H$_2$O** – at about 20 cm H$_2$O the esophagus starts to open, increasing the risk of vomiting and aspiration, so keep this window narrow!
- **Adjunct medications** – consider fentanyl, ketamine, dexmedetomidine if patient is still having difficulty tolerating the mask (keeping in mind that they all have respiratory or cardiac depressant effects)

### The Evidence for NIPPV in CHF: Controversy Surrounding the 3CPO Trial

Studies have shown significant mortality benefit (NNT 13) and avoidance of intubation (NNT 8) with the use of NIPPV in acute heart failure. Though not demonstrating a mortality benefit, other studies have shown that in comparison to standard oxygen delivery NIPPV showed a benefit in terms of self-reported dyspnea, tachycardia, acidosis, and hypercapnia, with no treatment related adverse events compared to standard oxygen delivery.

#### 3CPO Trial (2008):

A large multi-center RCT of C-PAP and B-PAP in the UK, that looked at 1069 patients in severe cardiogenic pulmonary edema. Patients were randomized to receive standard oxygen, C-PAP and B-PAP. Results demonstrated no significant difference between the 3 arms on the following parameters: 7-day mortality, 30-day mortality, intubation rates, admission to ICU. They noted earlier resolution of respiratory distress and metabolic acidosis in the C-PAP/B-PAP arm. It should be recognized that patients who failed standard oxygen therapy were able to use NIPPV. This means that the sickest patients in the standard arm may have received the intervention but were still counted in the standard arm.

In a subsequent meta-analysis of the use of NIPPV in acute cardiogenic pulmonary edema that included the 3CPO, the evidence gathered supported the use of NIPPV for patients in acute pulmonary edema. They were unable to detect a significant difference between C-PAP and B-PAP when they were compared directly. They found that NIPPV reduced mortality, reduced the need for intubation and ICU admission in patients who fail high flow oxygen, and improved the patient’s subjective symptoms.

**Bottom Line:** Despite controversy stemming from 3CPO trial, the best evidence to date suggests that NIPPV reduces mortality, need for intubation and ICU admission rates, but only in patients who fail high flow oxygen.
What is the role of high flow nasal cannula (HFNC) in the management of acute heart failure?

A small observational study in 2019 compared HFNC to intubation in patients with acute CHF and found that they had similar outcomes. 87% of patients who were in the HFNC group recovered from progressive hypoxemia without the need for endotracheal intubation. HFNC acts to decrease physiologic dead space in the upper airway, increase the delivery of FiO2 and may provide a small amount of PEEP. It should be considered in patients who are not tolerating B-PAP/C-PAP to stave off intubation. However, it is not recommended as a first line oxygenation strategy in acute heart failure patients who fail a nonrebreather.

**Bottom Line:** in those patients who are failing a nonrebreather, NIPPV is considered first line, and if it fails, consider HFNC to prevent the need for endotracheal intubation.

**Nitroglycerin is the first line medication in acute heart failure without cardiogenic shock**

Nitroglycerin is the first line medication in patients with acute heart failure who are not in cardiogenic shock as it reduced afterload and preload and redistributes fluid from the pulmonary system rapidly. It has been shown to improve hemodynamic status, respiratory distress, reduces intubation rates and ICU admissions, however it has not been shown to improve mortality. Canadian Cardiovascular Society suggests IV nitroglycerin in patients with SBP > 100.

**Our experts’ approach to administering nitroglycerin:**

Start with 3 sprays or tabs sublingual (400ug x3 =1200ug), apply NIPPV (when indicated), and start a nitroglycerin infusion (50-100 ug/min, and titrate to 100-200ug/min)

**Common pitfall:** not giving enough nitroglycerin when we start an infusion; remember that 1 spray of nitroglycerin is ~ 400 ug sublingual, so starting a nitro infusion at 5 ug/min akin to a homeopathic dose! Rather, nitroglycerin infusions should be started at 50-100 ug/min depending on the patient’s blood pressure.

**Suggested protocol for SCAPE management using IV nitroglycerin and NIPPV (source: REBEL EM)**

Based on the following protocol, a 2021 study suggests that in patients with SCAPE high dose NTG (600 – 1000mcg) bolus and NTG drip (100ug/min) and NIPPV is a safe strategy that may help to reduce the rate of endotracheal intubation and ICU admission.

Source: REBEL EM adapted from JEM https://rebelem.com/i-love-me-some-high-dose-ntg-and-niv-for-scape/
Which patients with acute heart failure require diuretics in the ED?

Indications for diuretics in acute heart failure: For patients with total body volume overload (eg. history of medication non-compliance and fluid restriction non-compliance with pedal edema, JVD, bibasilar crackles, plump IVC on PoCUS), removal of excess fluid via diuresis is likely to improve perfusion of the heart and kidneys by decreasing venous congestion and improving forward flow (Forward flow = MAP – CVP). It is important to realize, however, that many patient with acute heart failure do not have true total body volume overload but instead have isolated fluid backed up into the pulmonary system, so diuresis may harm rather than help with renal perfusion. It is therefore important to consider a total body volume assessment in patients who present with acute heart failure.

The timing and dose of furosemide in the management of acute heart failure

On the one hand, diuresis often takes at least 30-60 minutes to occur after administering IV diuretics in the acute heart failure patient supporting the argument that there is no rush to administer diuretics in the ED. On the other hand, there is some theoretical evidence to suggest that the pulmonary vasodilatory effects of furosemide occur within the first 10 minutes of administration. REALITY-AHF, a prospective, multicenter observational study evaluating door-to-furosemide time in acute heart failure (AHF) (1291 patients, AHF with volume overload) demonstrated that in hospital mortality decreased in those patients who received furosemide within 60 minutes compared to those receiving it > 60 minutes after presentation to the ED. However, this study does have some flaws (see REBEL EM).

IV furosemide dose – our experts suggest 1-2x their total daily home dose of furosemide as an IV bolus

Infusion vs. bolus furosemide?

A metaanalysis of studies comparing intermittent bolus furosemide vs continuous infusion found that “there was no difference between continuous infusion and bolus of furosemide for all-cause mortality, length of hospital stay and electrolyte disturbance, but continuous infusion was superior to bolus administration with regard to diuretic effect and reduction in brain natriuretic peptide.” Infusions are more labour intensive to set up and may require an ICU admission for the sole purpose of managing the infusion (perhaps poor utilization of resources). Patients who receive infusions of furosemide tend to receive less total amount of drug compared to intermittent bolusing. The ototoxicity associated with furosemide is based on the overall volume and the speed at which it is administered. So, for patients needing high doses of furosemide infusions may reduce the risk of ototoxicity.

Furosemide in patients with renal insufficiency – a challenging subset of patients

In patients with chronic renal failure who are taking an effective dose of diuretics at home, consider 2x their total daily oral dose as an IV bolus trial. If diuresis does not occur within an hour, consider repeating the dose, taking into consideration that excessive use of furosemide may worsen their renal function and/or lead to electrolyte abnormalities. It is reasonable to repeat
the creatinine and electrolytes in the ED after administering high
dose diuretics to patients with a history of renal insufficiency and
balance the risk of worsening renal insufficiency and electrolyte
abnormalities with the benefits of diuresis.
Patients with acute heart failure, fluid overload and renal failure
who are not responding to furosemide are patients who are likely
going to be admitted to the ICU, and potentially receive
dialysis. **Second line diuretics** such as oral matalazone or IV
chlorothizide may be considered for those patients who fail
furosemide; however, it is reasonable to leave the decision on
second line diuretics to the admitting team.

Is there a role for morphine in acute heart failure?
Choice of anxiolytic

Morphine used to be a staple in treating acute heart failure.
However, the ADHERE analysis suggests that in high doses it is
associated with worse outcomes (higher mortality, intubation
rates, ICU admission rates). Our experts recommend that in those
patients in whom you believe anxiety is contributing to their work
of breathing and/or who are having difficulty tolerating NIPPV, to
consider fentanyl as an anxiolytic as it has a short half-life and is
relatively cardiac stable compared to morphine. Other
medications you might consider in this context are midazolam
(expecially in patients with cocaine induced heart failure),
ketamine or dexmedetomidine.

Is there a role for ACE inhibitors or ARBs in acute heart failure?

ACE inhibitors/ARBS may reduce afterload in patients who are
stabilized, however early administration of these therapies in
patients who are unstable increases the risk of kidney injury
and hypotension. It is therefore not recommended by our
experts to start an ACEi/ARB in the ED, and rather delay until the
patient is hemodynamically stable and does not require any
further diuresis.

**Pitfall:** administering medications that decrease afterload in
patients with severe aortic stenosis may precipitously drop their
blood pressure; in patients with a known history of severe aortic
stenosis or a new systolic aortic murmur it is best to avoid the use
of nitroglycerin and ACEi/ARBs.

Take home points for management of acute heart failure

- Consider the use of PoCUS, not only for B-lines, but for
  JVD, cardiac contractility and IVC collapsibility; it can help
  you out with the diagnosis and the underlying cause.
- Divide these patients into those with cardiogenic shock
  and those without; those without cardiogenic shock are
  usually have a subacute presentation with gradual volume
  overload, but a minority will present dramatically with
  SCAPE, which requires aggressive timely management
- The goals of management can be summarized with **PPV
  HAVoC**
  - **PPV** – start with gentle application to the face at
    6cm of water with or without some fentanyl,
    titrate up to 12-ish and when you've hit a decent
target, titrate back down. If they can’t tolerate NIPPV, try high flow nasal cannula, which may prevent the need for intubation.

- Hypotension correction with vasopressors – we’ll get to the details in part 2.
- Afterload reduction with nitroglycerin – start with 3 sublingual sprays until an IV infusion is set up and don’t be wimpy with the dosing, avoid high dose morphine, and if you need some anxiolysis, give small doses of fentanyl which may reduce afterload too. Leave ACEi for the admitting team, and avoid afterload reduction in those with severe aortic stenosis or new systolic aortic murmur.

- **Volume status** – if you’re going to give furosemide based on total body overload gleaned from a volume status assessment, probably best to give it early, be particular about dosing and monitor creatinine and electrolytes carefully in those with renal insufficiency; you can consider adding a second diuretic if you’re getting nowhere – discuss it with the admitting team.

- **Cause** – treat the underlying cause – very important – is it ischemia? Is it a blown valve? Is it tamponade? Is it myocarditis? Is it a high flow state like thyrotoxicosis or severe anemia? Is it COPD?

---
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