The ED approach to syncope is almost entirely based on a focused but thorough history, cardiac physical exam and ECG rather than laboratory tests and imaging. The first step is distinguishing syncope from seizure. The next step is distinguishing cardiac from non-cardiac syncope. Our ultimate aim is to make safe disposition decisions based on this approach.

Distinguishing syncope from seizure based on history

The most useful symptoms reported by patient/witness for identifying seizure

- Head turning during event – sensitivity 43%; specificity 97%; LR 14
- Unusual posturing during the event – sensitivity 35%; specificity 97%; LR 13

The most useful findings evaluated by the physician for identifying patients with seizures:

- The presence of a cut tongue – sensitivity 45%; specificity 97%; LR 17
- Lateral tongue bite has a 100% specificity for tonic clonic seizure
- Patient has no recall of unusual behaviors before the loss of consciousness – sensitivity 53%; specificity 87%; LR 4.0

The most useful symptoms reported by patient or witness for identifying patients with syncope:

- Absence of presyncope – sensitivity 77%; specificity 86%; LR 5.6
- History of epilepsy – more likely seizure
- Post-ictal state – 96% of patients with seizures
- Urinary incontinence – sensitivity 24%; specificity 96%; LR 6.7
  *despite this impressive LR, urinary incontinence cannot reliably distinguish syncope from seizure
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• Number of limb jerks – The 10:20 Rule: patients with witnessed <10 myoclonic jerks after sudden loss of consciousness is more like syncope vs >20 myoclonic jerks is more likely seizure

Clinical Pitfall: Even though the +LR for urinary incontinence increases the likelihood of seizure, urinary incontinence cannot reliably distinguish seizure from syncope and should not be relied on to do so.

Clinical Pearl: Lateral tongue bite after sudden loss of consciousness has a 100% specificity for tonic clonic seizure.

Clinical Pearl: Approximately 90% of people who have a syncopal episode will have myoclonic jerks, the 10:20 Rule to help determine whether syncope or seizure is more likely. If there are <10 jerks it is more likely to be syncope, if you have >20 jerks it is more likely to be a seizure.

Distinguishing cardiac syncope from non-cardiac syncope

After considering syncope caused by diagnoses that typically present with additional clinical features (e.g., vascular catastrophes such as subarachnoid hemorrhage, ectopic pregnancy, massive GI bleed, ruptured AAA, etc) the priority in the ED should be distinguishing cardiac syncope from non-cardiac syncope.

Categories of syncope

• Reflex syncope – vasovagal, carotid sinus syndrome, situational
• Orthostatic syncope – drug induced, volume depletion, neurogenic
• Cardiovascular syncope – mechanical (PE, tamponade, aortic stenosis), dysrhythmias

Cardiac syncope clinical clues

• Cardiovascular risk factors
• Structural heart disease (especially HCM, aortic stenosis)
• Pacemaker
• Sudden syncope with no prodrome
• Exertional syncope
• Prodrome that includes palpitations, shortness of breath or chest pain
• Associated facial injury (including dental injury, eye glasses damage, tip of tongue bite)
• Family history of unexplained sudden death, drowning or single MVC <50 years of age
• Aortic stenosis murmur – high mortality rate in patients with critical aortic stenosis and syncope

Clinical Pearl: when asking about a family history of premature sudden death, also ask about unexplained drownings or single vehicle collisions, as these might point to an underlying inheritable cardiac cause
**Clinical Pearl**: older patients with aortic stenosis, a valve diameter <1cm and a syncopal episode have very poor prognosis, and high mortality rate – so use your stethoscope and auscultate the chest; an aortic valve repair can be life-saving in this setting

**Clinical Pitfall**: do not assume that a patient who has a pacemaker has orthostatic or reflex syncope; their pacemaker needs to be interrogated

---

**Reflex Syncope**

**Clinical clues** – prodrome of feeling warm/nausea, history of recurrent syncope after an unpleasant sight, sound, smell or pain, prolonged standing, during a meal, being in crowded/hot places, autonomic activation (carotid sinus massage/shaving, pressure on the eye/ocular-bradycardic reflex, micturition, defecation).

**Physical Exam** – despite reports from the cardiology literature stating that carotid sinus massage is useful in patients with unexplained syncope, our expert does not see a role for carotid sinus massage to diagnosis reflex syncope in the ED.

**Eagle’s Syndrome** – a rare but morbid cause of reflex syncope
While the vast majority of reflex syncope etiologies are benign, *Eagle’s Syndrome* is a rare cause of syncope that involves a calcified, elongated stylohyoid ligament that presses on the carotid during neck extension and can lead to syncope, tinnitus and throat pain or mimic stroke syndromes/dissection. It is identified on CT scan. Surgical management can prevent further syncopal episodes.

**Clinical Pearl**: Eagle’s Syndrome is a rare cause of syncope that involves a calcified, elongated stylohyoid ligament that presses on the carotid during neck extension and can lead to syncope; in patients who present with recurrent syncope after activities that involve neck extension (eg car mechanic, yoga, star-gazing etc) with associated tinnitus or throat pain, consider a CT of the neck to rule out Eagle’s syndrome.

---

**Orthostatic Syncope**

**Clinical Clues** – prodrome of lightheadedness after changing from lying/sitting to sitting/standing position, post-prandial hypotension, temporal relationship with start or change of dosage of vasoactive drugs/diuretics, autonomic neuropathy (diabetes, Parkinsonism), volume losses.

**Clinical Pitfall**: a common pitfall is assuming that the cause of syncope is orthostatic and failing to search further for cardiac causes in older patients who are found to have an orthostatic drop. Orthostatic vital signs do not predict 30 day serious outcomes in older emergency department patients with syncope. Many older patients will have an orthostatic drop at baseline, and some patients with orthostatic symptoms will not have an orthostatic drop; orthostatic vitals are non-specific and may lead to premature closure.
Is presyncope less morbid than syncope in older patients?

The differential for patients with pre-syncope and syncope are the same. Older patients with syncope or pre-syncope have comparable 1-month risk of major adverse cardiac events.

Simple 7-step approach to ECG interpretation for syncope

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>More often associated with a cardiac cause of syncope</th>
<th>More often associated with a noncardiac cause of syncope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Older age (&gt;60 yrs)</td>
<td>Younger age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>No known cardiac disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of known ischemic heart disease, structural heart disease, previous arrhythmias or reduced ventricular function</td>
<td>Syncope only in the standing position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief prodrome, such as palpitations, or sudden loss of consciousness without prodrome</td>
<td>Positional change from supine or sitting to standing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syncope during exertion</td>
<td>Presence of prodrome: nausea, vomiting, feeling warm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syncope in the supine position</td>
<td>Presence of specific trigger: dehydration, pain, distressful stimulus, medical environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low number of syncopeal episodes (1-2)</td>
<td>Presence of situational trigger: cough, laugh, micrurition, defecation, deglutition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal cardiac examination</td>
<td>Frequent recurrence and prolonged history of syncope with similar characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of inheritable conditions or premature sudden cardiac death (&lt;50yrs)</td>
<td>Presence of known congenital heart disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


ECGs are the most important test in patients with syncope, and in many cases of discrete syncope without other symptoms, an ECG is the only test required in the ED. It is important to scrutinize the rhythm strip or 12-lead ECG from EMS as it may reveal a transient cardiac dysrhythmia that does not appear on the ED ECG.

1. **Brady- or tachy dysrhythmias** – including heart blocks, ventricular tachycardia etc – these are usually obvious

2. **Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy (HCM):** inheritable cardiac condition, number one cause of sudden death in young athletes (1:500 prevalence)
   a) Voltage criteria for LVH in precordial and limb leads
   b) Narrow, “dagger-like” Q waves in inferior and lateral leads
3. **Wolf-Parkinson-White (WPW):** short PR, delta wave (upsloping QRS)

![Delta wave](Source: https://www.healio.com/cardiology/learn-the-heart/ecg-review/ecg-topic-reviews-and-criteria/wpw-review)

PR interval < 120 ms

Source: https://www.healio.com/cardiology/learn-the-heart/ecg-review/ecg-topic-reviews-and-criteria/wpw-review

4. **Arrhythmogenic Right Ventricular Cardiomyopathy (ARVC):** T-wave inversion in V1, V2, V3 (unlike Wellens’s that is V1-V4), epsilon wave (looks like a reverse delta wave, with slurring of the downstroke of the QRS, from the nadir of the S wave to the isoelectric line +/- a notch)

![Epsilon wave](Source: https://medschool.co/tests/ecg-disease-patterns/brugada-syndrome)

**Clinical Pearl:** Brugada often comes out when people are febrile and more arrhythmogenic, so think about this in patient with fever and palpitations and make sure you take a close look at the

5. **Brugada** – down-sloping ST elevation in V1/2

![Brugada](Source: https://medschool.co/tests/ecg-disease-patterns/brugada-syndrome)
ECG – remember if you miss Brugada, the person has a 10% chance of death in the next year.

6. Long QT

7. Bifascicular block (especially in the presence of a first-degree block) in a patient with syncope are at high risk of degenerating into 3rd-degree block and often require a pacemaker

**Clinical pearl:** one can cover most of ECG interpretation in the patient with syncope by simply looking for any abnormalities in the ECG intervals – PR, QRS and QT; this covers heart blocks, WPW, wide QRS tachydysrhythmias, HCM, Long QT syndrome and short QT syndrome

Is routine blood work necessary for patients who present to the ED with syncope?

In our expert’s view there is limited value in “routine” labs in patients deemed to be low-risk by physician gestalt. While troponins are often drawn in patients with syncope, evidence suggests that Troponin testing should be reserved for patients in whom the clinician suspects ACS and not drawn routinely in patients who present to the ED with syncope. Patients in whom labs should be considered:

- Suspect a bleed (CBC +/- coags)
- Suspect a PE (Dimer/CTPA)
- Suspect/possible pregnancy (B-HCG)
- Suspect an electrolyte abnormality based on medication change/ECG (lytes, extended lytes)
- Suspect ACS (i.e., chest pain or equivalent – troponin)

**To image, or not to image: The value of CT head and PoCUS in syncope**

Patients with clear cardiac syncope or reflex syncope do not routinely require a CT head. Imaging should be considered when secondary causes of syncope are suspected such as subarachnoid hemorrhage and vertebrobasilar “drop” attack stroke, and when non-trivial head injury as a result of the syncopal episode is suspected. Remember that for a CNS lesion to cause syncope directly, it needs to either effect both cerebral hemispheres or the brain stem. Similarly, PoCUS should be considered when secondary causes of syncope are suspected, such as AAA, PE, aortic dissection or when major trauma results from the syncopal episode.

**Which patients with syncope require a work up for pulmonary embolism?**

Surprisingly, the PESIT trial, an observational study out of Italy found a 17.3% rate of PE in patients presenting to the ED with a
first-time episode of syncope. This study had many limitations, and a subsequent North American prospective, multicenter, cohort study demonstrated a 30-day PE prevalence of only 0.6%. While PE can cause syncope and these patients are at high risk for poor outcomes, PE should not be routinely worked up for syncope patients. If there are significant findings on history, physical, ECG that suggest PE, then a work up should be considered based on validated decision tools. In our expert’s opinion there is no role for routinely ordering a D-Dimer for patients who present to the ED after a syncopal episode.

**Are clinical decision tools better than physician gestalt for determining low risk syncope for safe discharge?**

Decision-making tools, despite their varying level of external validation, can be used to reassure clinicians and assist in shared decision-making when considering safe discharge of patients who have presented to the ED after a syncopal episode. The San Francisco Syncope Rule (SFSR) requires a hematocrit, and is the easiest to remember at the bedside, but sensitivity for adverse events was poor in external validation studies, and sensitivity 86% and 87% and specificity 49% and 52% in two meta-analyses.

The Canadian Syncope Risk Score (CSRS) requires a troponin level (see above for value of troponin in syncope) and has shown excellent sensitivities in internal/validation studies. Scores of -2 or higher were found to have sensitivity 99% and specificity of 26%. Scores of -1 or higher had sensitivity 98%, specificity 46%. On secondary internal validation in Canada, patients with very low and low risk scores had 0.3% and 0.7% risks of a serious outcome. However, a recent external validation in Italy demonstrated that the CSRS is no better than physician gestalt at predicting outcomes for patients with syncope. Additionally, physician gestalt had a lower rate of adverse events compared to low-risk patients on the CSRS. Physician gestalt is incorporated into the score which may limit its usefulness.

In our expert’s opinion physician gestalt based on a focused but thorough clinical assessment should be used to identify low risk patients who are safe for discharge from the ED. Syncope decision tools can be used to reassure physicians and patients in shared decision making.

**Additional outpatient testing for low-risk patients with syncope**

For patients discharged from the ED in whom there is some suspicion for a cardiac cause of syncope, referral to a cardiologist/internist for further work up may be warranted (i.e., Holter, loop recorder, ECHO etc.). The yield of Holter monitoring in patients with syncope may be as low as 1-2% in an unselected population. In general, external loop recorders have a higher diagnostic yield than Holter monitoring. It is our expert’s opinion that decisions about which outpatient tests are performed are best left to the consultant.
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